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Background

Overall Purpose:
Use a scoping review as a means to summarize types of integration and methods to evaluate them in preclinical courses in undergraduate medical education in the US and Canada.

What is a Scoping Review?
Uses a systematic method of searching the literature to examine evidence on a topic, to identify knowledge gaps, and to refine questions for further research and review.

Research Question

How do medical schools define and implement integration of basic and clinical sciences within preclinical courses in undergraduate medical education (UME) and how is the efficacy of integration measured?

Methods

Our search strategy was designed to capture the range of integration efforts being used in preclinical medical education at the course level.

Inclusion criteria: (1) Integration during preclinical phase of UME, (2) Integration at the course level, (3) Quantitative and/or qualitative studies of preclinical integration interventions

Exclusion criteria: (1) Non-English language, (2) No intervention evaluated, (3) Integration measured at a program-wide level, (4) Integration restricted to clinical phase, (5) Institutions outside US & Canada, (6) Editors or commentaries, (7) Type of integration not defined

Results

Figure 1. Categories and examples of preclinical integration identified in the literature. Examples cover both horizontal (across disciplines and topics) and vertical (across basic and clinical sciences) integration. An asterisk (*) indicates that an example is in multiple categories.

Figure 2. Methods and outcome metric to evaluate preclinical course integration. Categories include subjective student feedback, exams and assessments, and direct observation of students.

Figure 3. Results of literature search and screening process. A total of 34 articles were included in our analysis of preclinical integration.

Conclusions and Future Directions

- There is no universally accepted framework of effective curricular integration at the course level in US & Canadian medical schools
- There is a lack of standardization in methods to evaluate the success of integration efforts
- We hope to use these data to design & test new methods to evaluate the extent and quality of curricular integration within UME courses
- We hope to work with faculty in applying these methods to enhance student outcomes
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