

# Liver MR Elastography Imaging Technique and Image Interpretation: Pearls and Pitfalls

Flavius F. Guglielmo MD<sup>1</sup>, Sudhakar K. Venkatesh MD<sup>2</sup>, Donald G. Mitchell MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pa <sup>2</sup>Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minn







# Background


Liver MR elastography is an imaging technique used to measure liver stiffness for evaluation of fibrosis or cirrhosis. Liver stiffness values are useful for predicting liver fibrosis stages. However, obtaining accurate liver stiffness measurements (LSMs) requires the following:

- IMAGING TECHNIQUE OPTIMIZATION
- QUALITY-CONTROL EVALUATION OF IMAGES
  - PROPER ELASTOGRAM INTERPRETATION


The purpose of this educational presentation is to review the proper technique for performing liver MR elastography, subsequent quality-control evaluation, and liver elastogram interpretation.

# **Table of Contents**

There are four main sections in this presentation:




With MR
elastography, an
active pneumatic
mechanical wave
driver is located
outside the MRI room.



Reprinted, with permission, from reference 10


A flexible 25-foot polyvinyl chloride tube connects the active pneumatic driver to a passive driver placed on the abdominal wall over the liver.


The passive driver generates a continuous acoustic vibration that is transmitted through the entire abdomen, including the liver, at a fixed frequency, which is typically set at 60 Hz.



Reprinted, with permission, from reference 10

A phase-contrast pulse sequence with motion-encoding gradients synchronized to the mechanical waves created by the passive driver is used to image the micron-level cyclic displacements caused by the propagating waves and create a magnitude image (ie, anatomic image) and phase image (ie, wave motion image).












After the magnitude and phase images are created, an inversion algorithm installed in the MRI unit automatically processes these raw data images to create a wave image, and gray-scale and color elastograms, with and without a superimposed 95% confidence map...




**Gray-Scale Elastogram** 




Gray-Scale Elastogram with 95% confidence map






Color Elastogram with 95% confidence map


The gray-scale elastogram is commonly used to make QUANTITATIVE measurements, in kilopascals.



The color elastogram is generally used for QUALITATIVE liver stiffness evaluation; however, with the MRI units from some vendors, the color elastogram can be used for quantitative measurements.



On the color elastogram, PURPLE & BLUE indicate lower stiffness values and RED & ORANGE indicate higher stiffness



Color Elastogram (0 – 20 kPa)

A 0-20 kPa color elastogram is also created and is useful for appreciating liver stiffness heterogeneity in livers with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis; however, this image is rarely required for clinical use.

Obtaining consistently high-quality elastograms begins with optimizing the imaging technique, which ideally requires addressing six important technical components:




# **Patient Preparation**

 Patient fasting for 4-6 hours before the MR elastography examination is recommended, with no sugar-rich drinks during fasting.


### **Passive Driver Placement**

- At end expiration, the passive driver needs to be placed SNUGLY against the abdominal wall, beneath the torso phased-array surface coil.
- The passive driver should be placed over the right hepatic lobe. The <u>xiphisternum</u> is used for the superior-inferior position, and the <u>right</u> <u>midclavicular line</u> is used for the right-left position.
- Alternative driver placement:
  - Over the <u>right lateral abdominal wall</u> for patients with a chest wall deformity or prior surgery or who cannot lie supine
  - Over the <u>largest portion of the liver</u> for patients with hepatic resection or liver malposition




# **MR Elastogram Section Positioning**

- In a typical MR elastography examination, four images are obtained and should include the largest portion of the liver and exclude the liver dome.
  - Obtaining images too high over the liver dome can lead to falsely elevated liver stiffness values owing to oblique waves propagating through the liver.
  - Obtaining images too low can create chaotic waves, with inaccurate or nondiagnostic liver stiffness values.



# **Breathing Technique**


- The typical two-dimensional gradient-recalled-echo MR elastography pulse sequence is a breath-hold sequence of about 16 seconds and ideally is performed at end expiration to minimize positional changes between individual sections.
- To best accomplish this, the <u>passive driver</u> needs to be fastened snugly to the abdominal wall when it is applied, with the patient holding his or her breath at end expiration. Then, all subsequent sequences, including the <u>elastogram</u> scout sequence, <u>parallel imaging calibration scan</u> (if required), and <u>elastogram</u>, also should be performed at end expiration to match how and where the driver was applied.



# **Pulse Sequence Timing**

- The elastogram series can be performed before or after injecting gadolinium-based contrast material.
- The advantage of performing the series before the injection is that quality-control issues can be corrected, if they occur, and MR elastography can be repeated before or after the diagnostic portion of the MRI examination, as illustrated below.
- The advantage of performing MR elastography after the contrast material injection is increased liver signal intensity, which may result in an elastogram of better quality.

 Note: liver fat and iron quantification still should be performed before the injection to avoid the effect of gadolinium on the measurements.



Ax/Cor = axial and coronal, BSSFP = balanced steady-state free precession, DWI = diffusion-weighted imaging, Gad = gadolinium-based contrast material, GRE= gradient-recalled echo, MRCP = MR cholangiopancreatography, 3D = three-dimensional, 2D = two-dimensional, T2W and T2WI = T2-weighted imaging

# **Pulse Sequence Parameters**

#### MR Elastography Imaging Parameters

MR elastography imaging parameters vary among different MRI unit vendors and according
to different magnet field strengths. The suggested imaging parameters from many vendors
are cited in the RSNA Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance Profile online document.
While the parameters for different magnets vary, the following three common parameters
must be understood to improve the reliability of results:

#### Passive Driver Frequency

 In routine clinical practice, the passive driver frequency is set at 60 Hz and should NOT BE CHANGED since most LSM references and thresholds for staging liver fibrosis are based on 60 Hz. This is also important for ensuring measurement consistency in follow-up studies.

#### Passive Driver Amplitude (ie, Power Output)

• An appropriate passive driver amplitude is 50% for an average-sized patient. This can be increased or decreased, depending on the patient's size and comfort level (ie, 75% for larger patients, 25% for thin patients).

#### Pulse Sequence Echo Time (TE)

Ideally, the pulse sequence TE should be an in-phase TE to minimize the signal loss that
occurs with a fatty liver. This is important because when MR elastography hardware is first
installed, the default TE may not be set at an in-phase value and thus may need to be
changed by an MRI application specialist during or after installation. MR elastography can
still be performed at other TEs, but the liver signal intensity may be lower.

RSNA Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance. MR Elastography of the Liver. Stage 2: Consensus profile. Published May 2, 2018.

When a liver MR elastography examination is first performed, each study should be immediately evaluated to ensure its quality so that corrective steps, if needed, can be taken before the examination is completed. Both the MR technologist and the radiologist should be able to perform the following quality control evaluation:

Review the magnitude images for signal void in the subcutaneous tissues


Review the phase images for shear waves moving through the liver

Review the wave images for poor wave propagation, lowamplitude waves, or wave distortion

**Evaluate the quality of the elastogram** 

The first quality control step is to review the magnitude images for a signal void in the subcutaneous tissues (indicating that the mechanical waves are being applied) and the phase images for shear waves moving through the liver.

Signal void in abdominal wall





Phase Image

Shear waves moving through liver


In the example below, the connecting tube between the passive driver and active driver was inadvertently disconnected. This resulted in an absent abdominal wall signal void on the magnitude images and no shear wave propagation on the phase images. The resulting elastogram (not shown) was nondiagnostic.

No signal void, in abdominal wall



No shear waves moving through liver

The next quality control step is to review the wave images for areas of poor wave propagation, low-amplitude (darker) waves, or wave distortion.



liver surface and

travel centrally through the liver.
Waves are

attenuated centrally

by soft normal liver parenchyma.


There are high-quality waves (solid arrows) in the anterior liver.

There are no waves on this nondiagnostic wave image.

However, there are low-amplitude (darker) waves with wave distortion (dashed arrows) in the rest of the liver.

- Regions of wave distortion may lead to artifactually high or low LSMs.
- Low-amplitude (darker) waves have a poor signal-to-noise ratio that is not ideal for postprocessing and may result in low LSMs.


The final quality-control step is to evaluate the elastogram for quality. When performing MR elastography, the goal is to generate elastograms that have a large area of the liver not covered by the 95% confidence map (green arrows) to allow measurements of a large portion of the liver, as in the high-quality elastogram on the right.




The crosshatching on this image is the 95% confidence map, which should be avoided when obtaining LSMs.

High-Quality Elastogram

On the two elastograms below, only a small portion of the liver can be measured on the low-quality elastogram (LEFT), and no LSM can obtained on the nondiagnostic elastogram (RIGHT) obtained in a different patient. If possible, both of these elastograms should be acquired again to try to improve their quality.






Nondiagnostic Elastogram


# **Causes of Low Quality Elastograms**

# There are MANY POTENTIAL CAUSES of low-quality elastograms:

- Poor shear wave delivery, which may be due to:
  - The passive driver improperly secured onto the abdominal wall because...
    - It became loosened after application or...
    - It was not applied during end expiration
  - The elastogram section location not matching the passive driver location, which may be positioned too high or too low
  - The elastogram section location matching the passive driver location but the section obtained too high or too low
  - Structures such as the lung base or colon interposed over the liver
  - Leakage of the connecting tube connecting the active and passive drivers
- 2. The active driver power output being set too low or too high




Low-Quality Elastograms



# **Causes of Low-Quality Elastograms**

### 3. Parenchymal causes

- Unrecognized liver iron overload
- Hepatic steatosis




For this reason, elastography should be performed with an in-phase TE to negate the decrease in liver signal intensity that occurs with hepatic steatosis.

### 4. Paramagnetic materials in or adjacent to the liver can cause


interference

- Embolization coils
- TIPS stent

Chest wall metal clips








Metal clip artifact

#### 5. Motion artifact

 Any respiratory motion during elastography, which is a breath-hold sequence, will decrease the quality of the examination.


### **Causes of Nondiagnostic Elastograms**

- The three most common causes for a nondiagnostic elastogram:
  - 1. Significant liver iron overload (most common cause)
    - When this occurs, repeating the elastography examination with conventional gradient-echo sequences probably will not correct the problem. More recently available spin-echo sequences, which are not as affected by iron overload, can be used, if available.



- 2. Nonfunctioning active driver, which may be inadvertently turned off or need to be rebooted.
- 3. The connecting tube between the active driver and passive driver may be disconnected or kinked, as illustrated in the next slide.


# Causes of Nondiagnostic Elastograms



On the magnitude image for this elastogram, there is no subcutaneous (SQ) signal void in the abdominal wall. On the resulting wave image, no waves are present. On the resulting elastogram, the 95% confidence map completely covers the liver, preventing LSM.

The cause for this nondiagnostic elastogram was a disconnected connecting tube between the active driver and passive driver. The tube was reconnected, and the image acquisition repeated:

Signal void in



At repeat elastography, there is a significant SQ abdominal wall signal void on the magnitude image, excellent wave propagation on the wave image, and a high-quality elastogram, on which a significant portion of the liver (gold region of interest) is available for LSM.

# MR Elastogram Interpretation & Reporting

After an elastogram is created, the interpreting radiologist needs to understand how to perform LSMs, potential measurement pitfalls, and how to report examination results. This process is outlined in the next section, which reviews:

The "Gestalt" Assessment

How to Obtain LSMs


Areas to Avoid When Obtaining Measurements

Causes of Increased Liver Stiffness Mimicking Fibrosis/Cirrhosis

Reporting Results in the Radiology Report


#### **Gestalt Assessment**

When interpreting MR elastograms, the first step is to perform a gestalt assessment of the wave images, color elastogram, and gray-scale elastogram to determine if the elastogram is probably normal or if elevated liver stiffness probably is present.




With HEALTHY NONFIBROTIC LIVERS, on the wave images, the waves (arrow) are thinner and darken centrally, as they are attenuated by the soft normal liver parenchyma.

#### **Normal Liver Stiffness**



color elastogram, portions of the liver not covered by the 95% confidence map (arrow) will be BLUE or PURPLE owing to lower stiffness values.


#### Normal Liver Stiffness



On the gray-scale elastogram, only the liver periphery (outlined) may not be covered by the 95% confidence map. However, this is due to wave attenuation by healthy liver tissue, NOT because of a low-quality elastogram.


With FIBROTIC LIVERS, on the wave images, the waves are thicker and not attenuated centrally, as they move more quickly through the stiffer liver parenchyma.

#### **Elevated Liver Stiffness**



On the color elastogram, the portion of the liver not covered by the 95% confidence map (arrow) will be RED or ORANGE owing to elevated stiffness values.

#### Stage 4 Fibrosis or Cirrhosis




On the gray-scale elastogram, most of the liver is not covered by the 95% confidence map.

#### **How to Obtain LSMs**

- The next step in the interpretation process is to correlate the gray-scale elastogram with the magnitude image to determine what portions of the liver are being sampled on the gray-scale elastogram. Note: these two images are created by using the same pulse sequence and from the same acquired data and therefore reflect the same anatomy. LSMs can be performed manually or by using automated software.
- Next, the manual technique for LSM and pearls and pitfalls associated with obtaining measurements are outlined.




Magnitude Image



Elastogram with 95% Confidence Map

#### **How to Obtain LSMs**


The following describes how to obtain LSMs by using a commercially available picture archiving and communication system (PACS) workstation. Using the freehand region of interest (ROI) tool, the LARGEST PORTION OF THE LIVER is drawn on each of the four elastogram sections. The outer margin (gold arrows) should be drawn parallel to the liver margin, 1 cm or greater from the liver edge. The inner margin (green arrows) should exclude the 95% confidence map.




#### **How to Obtain LSMs**

A generic formula for calculating the weighted arithmetic mean  $(AM_w)$  of the mean liver stiffness values (m) obtained from the ROIs drawn on four sections, with each section having an ROI size of w pixels would be:


$$AM_{w} = (m_{1}w_{1} + m_{2}w_{2} + m_{3}w_{3} + m_{4}w_{4}) \div (w_{1} + w_{2} + w_{3} + w_{4})$$




The goal is to sample large portions of the liver on each of the four elastograms. However, for the most accurate LSMs, there are some areas in the liver that should BE EXCLUDED when drawing ROIs. On each of the following images, areas to AVOID include the following...




On the <u>MAGNITUDE IMAGE</u>, avoid the liver edge (≥1 cm), nonhepatic tissues, fissures, gallbladder fossa, and large blood vessels. Also avoid the left hepatic lobe unless there is no motion artifact.



On the <u>WAVE IMAGES</u>, avoid areas of poor wave propagation, low-amplitude (darker) waves (green arrow), and wave distortion (gold arrow).



On the <u>GRAY-SCALE ELASTOGRAM</u>, avoid the 95% confidence map (arrow).




### **HOT SPOTS**


Hot spots are focal areas of elevated liver stiffness that are ARTIFACTUAL and do not reflect the actual liver stiffness. On the color elastogram, hot spots are focal RED or ORANGE areas in the liver that indicate increased liver stiffness.

Hot spots may not be obscured by the 95% confidence map. However, hot spots should NOT BE INCLUDED when obtaining LSMs because including these measurements will spuriously increase the mean liver stiffness, potentially making a normal liver appear abnormal or overstaging liver fibrosis.


However, many hot spots occur in predictable locations. The two most common locations are just beneath the passive driver (Passive Driver Hot Spot, [solid arrow]) and in the liver dome (Liver Dome Hot Spot, [dashed arrow]). The Passive Driver Hot Spot is probably due to distortion created by the passive driver, and the Liver Dome Hot Spot, due to the orientation of waves passing obliquely through the liver dome owing to the liver shape. Hot spots may also be due to random areas of wave distortion.


A focal hot spot could also be due to a mass (ie, tumor) in the liver or an area of focal fibrosis, so it is important to correlate the elastogram findings with other MRI pulse sequences.






This color elastogram (left image) demonstrates a Passive Driver Hot Spot (oval outline) in the anterior left hepatic lobe. The cause is probably excessive or disorganized vibrations in the liver created by the adjacent passive driver (middle image). This results in wave distortion on the wave images (right image).









On the corresponding gray-scale elastogram, the portion of the liver outlined above is NOT COVERED by the 95% confidence map. However, this hot spot should NOT BE INCLUDED when obtaining LSMs.


Valid area to take LSMs




Do NOT include this hot spot LSM


Color elastogram (left image) demonstrates a Liver Dome Hot Spot, which occurs when sections are obtained through the liver dome (middle image). This results in an oblique liver imaging plane, causing waves to propagate through the liver obliquely on the wave images (right image), which show artifactually thicker waves that simulate waves caused by liver fibrosis (gold arrow).










The images below are from the same study, from a mid-liver section (middle image). There is no hot spot on the color elastogram (left image), and the waves are slightly thinner (arrow, right image) than the waves in the liver dome.













On the corresponding gray-scale elastograms, there is artifactually elevated liver stiffness in the liver dome (red arrows, above), as compared with the mid-liver section (white arrows, below), due to the liver dome hot spot. This hot spot measurement should NOT BE USED for LSM.




This is another example of a Liver Dome Hot Spot showing a magnitude image that was (incorrectly) obtained even higher in the liver dome than the last case (left image). Wave images (middle image) show artifactually thick oblique waves (gold arrow, middle image) obtained through the liver dome. Color elastogram (right image) shows a hot spot due to oblique waves and a spuriously elevated LSM of 6.9 kPa. This measurement should NOT BE USED for LSM.










The images below are from the same study, from a mid-liver section (left image). The waves are significantly thinner than the waves in the liver dome (middle image, arrow), and there is no hot spot on the color elastogram (right image). Note the valid LSM of 2.4 kPa, as compared with the spurious 6.9 kPa LSM in the dome.









Hot spots are only conspicuous when the liver stiffness is normal or with lower levels of liver fibrosis. With higher levels of fibrosis or cirrhosis, hot spots may be obscured by the stiff liver parenchyma.



The relatively normal liver stiffness on this elastogram makes the anterior Passive Driver Hot Spot conspicuous.

#### **Elevated Liver Stiffness**







However, when advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis is present, as depicted on this elastogram, hot spots may be inconspicuous compared with the background liver parenchyma.


### **Causes of Increased Liver Stiffness Mimicking Fibrosis or Cirrhosis**

The most common causes of increased liver stiffness that are not due to fibrosis or cirrhosis are listed on the next two slides. Since other causes can increase LSMs, the measurements obtained should always be interpreted in conjunction with clinical and laboratory findings for other possible causes of increased liver stiffness.

- Postprandial
  - Therefore, 4 6 hours of fasting is required
- 2. Acute hepatitis
- 3. Extrahepatic cholestasis









**Acute Biliary Obstruction** 

### **Causes of Increased Liver Stiffness Mimicking Fibrosis or Cirrhosis**

- Passive hepatic congestion 4.
- Infiltrative processes



**Diffuse Infiltrating Metastatic Carcinoma** 



dilated hepatic veins

Finally, as a general rule, most variables will INCREASE LSMs. Therefore, a "normal" measured liver stiffness value is considered acceptable and reliable. Also, hepatic steatosis does not significantly affect LSMs.

### Reporting Results in the Radiology Report

- Useful components of an MR elastography radiology report are illustrated in the sample dictation template below and can include:
  - The number of LSMs obtained and the mean liver stiffness.
  - An MR elastography table with fibrosis stage thresholds at a driver frequency of 60 Hz.
  - A caveat indicating that liver stiffness values should be interpreted in conjunction with clinical and laboratory results for other causes of increased liver stiffness.

Mean liver stiffness (weighted mean of [ ]measurements): [ ] kPa

MR elastography estimates of hepatic stiffness (at 60 Hz) correlate with hepatic fibrosis stages as:

<2.5 kPa: Normal

2.5 - 2.9 kPa: Normal or Inflammation

2.9 - 3.5 kPa: Stage 1 to 2 Fibrosis

3.5 - 4 kPa: Stage 2 to 3 Fibrosis 4 - 5 kPa: Stage 3 to 4 Fibrosis

>5 kPa: Stage 4 Fibrosis or Cirrhosis

(These are broad categories and results should be interpreted with clinical and laboratory findings for other possible causes of increased liver stiffness.)

- One of the radiology report impression statements should summarize the elastography component of the study. For example, an impression could be "Mean liver stiffness of 2.6 kPa, consistent with normal or inflammation."
- A structured report template that can be used as a stand-alone MR elastography template or added to a diagnostic MRI template is available at <a href="https://radreport.org/home/50792">https://radreport.org/home/50792</a>


# Communication between MR Elastography Technologist and Radiologist


Communication between the MR elastography technologist and radiologist is important for quality control. For example, if a low-quality elastogram is produced, to potentially improve the quality of the current or subsequent MR elastography studies, it is important to know what the contributing factors may have been. For this reason, an MR elastography technologist checklist such as the one shown below can be helpful if it is completed by the technologist performing MR elastography. Using this checklist on a regular basis creates a method of communicating with the interpreting radiologist while also reinforcing the proper MR elastography technique for technologists. The proposed checklist may be useful during the initial stages of implementing liver MR elastography into clinical practice, or it can be used for training new technologists.

MR Elastography Technologist Checklist		
1.	The passive driver was fastened firmly to the abdominal wall.	No □
2.	The passive driver was applied during end-expiration.	No 🗆
3.	The calibration scan, localizer scan and MRE were all obtained during end-expiration Yes $\Box$	No 🗆
4.	Images include the widest portion of liver (excluding dome & inferior tip of right lobe) Yes $\Box$	No □
5.	The driver amplitude setting for this scan was:%	
б.	The driver frequency setting was 60 Hz for this scan	No □
Te	chnologist MRE QC:	
1.	The magnitude images show signal loss in the SQ fat just below the passive driver Yes $\Box$	No 🗆
2.	The phase images show shear waves in the liver	No □
lf t	the MRE needed to be repeated:	
	What was the reason?	
	Which radiologist was contacted for input (if applicable)?	
	3. What was changed for the repeat scan?	
Te	2. Which radiologist was contacted for input (if applicable)?	_

# Conclusion

- MR elastography is currently the best noninvasive imaging technique available for measuring liver stiffness to evaluate for possible liver fibrosis or cirrhosis. However, obtaining and reporting accurate and reliable LSMs with MR elastography requires an optimal imaging technique, quality control of images, and proper elastogram interpretation and reporting.
- The six most important technical parameters that need to be optimized include:
  - Patient fasting
  - Proper passive driver placement
  - MR elastography section positioning over the largest portion of the liver
  - Obtaining MR elastography—related sequences at end expiration
  - Choosing the best timing of the MR elastography sequence
  - Optimizing several key MR elastography pulse sequence parameters
- Images obtained at MR elastography need to be immediately assessed for quality control by means of magnitude, phase, and wave image review and evaluation of the diagnostic quality of the elastograms.
- The interpreting radiologist needs to understand:
  - The proper method for obtaining LSMs
  - Areas to avoid when obtaining LSMs
  - Conditions other than fibrosis or cirrhosis that can increase liver stiffness
  - How to include elastography results in the radiology report





### References

- 1. Dzyubak B, Glaser K, Yin M, et al. Automated liver stiffness measurements with magnetic resonance elastography. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;38(2):371-379.
- 2. Glaser KJ, Manduca A, Ehman RL. Review of MR elastography applications and recent developments. J Magn Reson Imaging 2012;36(4):757-774.
- 3. Mariappan YK, Dzyubak B, Glaser KJ, et al. Application of modified spin-echo-based sequences for hepatic MR elastography: evaluation, comparison with the conventional gradient-echo sequence, and preliminary clinical experience. Radiology 2016;282(2):390-398.
- 4. RSNA Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance. Magnetic Resonance Elastography of the Liver: stage 2—Consensus profile. RSNA Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance website. https://qibawiki.rsna.org/images/a/a5/MRE-QIBAProfile-2018-05-02-CONSENSUS.pdf. Published May 2, 2018.
- 5. Serai SD, Obuchowski NA, Venkatesh SK, et al. Repeatability of MR elastography of liver: a meta-analysis. Radiology 2017;285(1):92-100.
- 6. Shire NJ, Yin M, Chen J, et al. Test–retest repeatability of MR elastography for noninvasive liver fibrosis assessment in hepatitis C. J Magn Reson Imaging 2011;34(4):947-955.
- 7. Talwalkar JA, Yin M, Fidler JL, Sanderson SO, Kamath PS, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance imaging of hepatic fibrosis: emerging clinical applications. Hepatology 2008;47(1):332-342.

# References (continued)

- 8. Tang A, Cloutier G, Szeverenyi NM, Sirlin CB. Ultrasound elastography and MR elastography for assessing liver fibrosis. I. Principles and techniques. AJNR Am J Roentgenol 2015;205(1):22-32.
- 9. Tang A, Cloutier G, Szeverenyi NM, Sirlin CB. Ultrasound elastography and MR elastography for assessing liver fibrosis. II. Diagnostic performance, confounders, and future directions. AJNR Am J Roentgenol 2015;205(1):33-40.
- 10. Venkatesh SK, Yin M, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance elastography of liver: technique, analysis, and clinical applications. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;37(3):544-555.
- 11. Venkatesh SK, Wells ML, Miller FH, et al. Magnetic resonance elastography: beyond liver fibrosis—a case-based pictorial review. Abdom Imaging 2017:1-22.
- 12. Venkatesh SK, Wang G, Lim SG, Wee A. Magnetic resonance elastography for the detection and staging of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. Eur Radiol 2014;24(1):70-78.
- 13. Venkatesh SK, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance elastography of abdomen. Abdom Imaging 2015;40(4):745-759.
- 14. Venkatesh SK, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance elastography of liver. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am 2014;22(3):433-446.
- 15. Yin M, Talwalkar JA, Glaser KJ, Manduca A, Grimm RC, Rossman PJ, et al. Assessment of hepatic fibrosis with magnetic resonance elastography. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;5(10):1207–1213.e2.
- 16. Yin M, Glaser KJ, Talwalkar JA, Chen J, Manduca A, Ehman RL. Hepatic MR elastography: clinical performance in a series of 1377 consecutive examinations. Radiology2015;278(1):114-124.