

## NH-INBRE TARGETED INCENTIVE GRANTS

### **INTRODUCTION: to expedite submission of-**

- A) Manuscripts (especially data driven; reviews will be considered; however not letters to the editor, etc)
- B) New/ Revised Grant Applications to national funding sources (NIH, NSF, and/or foundations)

### **OVERALL RATIONALE**

For PIs with research results that are far enough along that additional experimentation and writing will reach submission by no later than: **papers: 6 months; grants: 9 months from TIG submission date**

### **PROGRAM STRUCTURE**

*ELIGIBILITY:* all faculty engaged in biomedical research except those investigators receiving funded pilot or research project awards.

*APPLICATION:* Open rolling application, no due date.

Main components (as further detailed far below): 2 pages, only, of text including preliminary data (no appendix) and explicit statement of goal/target and submission date; This 2 page limit does not include: NIH Biosketch for applicant PI and any fundamental collaborative faculty members; support letters (note that collaborative papers and grants are allowed/encouraged, but awards will be made to only the applicant PI)

Budget: \$4K for manuscripts; \$15K for grants; no budget detail required. NO PI or other faculty salary support; no travel; award will be directed to lead institute RSTG as a supplement to be used specifically for this deliverable: e.g. to support additional student researchers, technicians, supplies, services for shared instrumentation, other research or presentation costs. Budget maximums do not include F&A. F&A is allowable in addition to the direct costs.

INBRE leadership mentoring/monitoring: close periodic follow-up of INBRE leadership with project PI, research mentors (to be determined), and RSTG PI for assessment of adequate management of funding and achievement of interim progress. INBRE LEADERSHIP IN CONSULTATION WITH THE ABOVE, RESERVES THE RIGHT TO TERMINATE SUPPORT IF PROGRESS IS NOT ON TARGET FOR THE SUBMISSION DATE, as specifically monitored by review of required periodic drafts of the paper or grant,

*INITIAL PROPOSAL REVIEW/AWARD PROCESS:* Review by INBRE leadership, with possible ad-hoc expert consultation; EAC approval; but no NIH approval required as there is no PI/faculty salary support

### **SUBMISSION SPECIFICS (proposals to include):**

**A clear indication of the deliverable:** with (hard) target dates for the paper or the grant

**For manuscripts:** full citation of the proposed authors, title, etc; name of the journal (and back-up journal) to which the paper will be submitted; rationale for what's missing that this funding will provide

and allow submission (e.g. last critical experiment(s), student help for data reduction/presentation, etc: other). Submissions targeting on-line “predatory journals (see two attachments)” or other dubious journals of very low impact factors may be judged as not competitive.

**For grants:** title, proposed specific aims and rationale for the work; key preliminary data – optional depending on the grant mechanism to be applied for – e.g. K award, R15, R21, foundation award type; based on the award type, the specific receipt date specified by that funding agency; the specific justification for how this funding will allow the on-time submission.